Amendment 2155 - Require DOD to obtain an audit with an unqualified opinion by FY 2018

The Constitution gives the power of the purse to Congress, and it does so with a clear and absolute prohibition on spending - “No Money” unless Congress acts and an all-encompassing requirement for accountability - “all public Money” shall be accounted for. This is Congress’s most important check on the executive branch in the Constitution’s entire scheme of checks and balances.¹

Congress cannot possibly know that the executive branch is obeying the first part of the appropriations clause (spending) of the Constitution without confidence in the second (accountability).

Failure by the Pentagon to comply with existing federal financial management laws is against the very spirit of the Constitution – our Founding Fathers demanded that those spending taxpayer dollars are accountable to taxpayers.

This amendment sharpens the teeth of the Appropriations and Accountability Clause in the Constitution, Article 1, Section 9, Clause 7, which says,

“No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law; and a regular Statement and Account of the Receipts and Expenditures of all public Money shall be published from time to time.”

The Pentagon’s financial management problems are intimately related to the problems of waste at the Pentagon and the budget crisis that has created sequestration.

Currently, neither Pentagon leaders, nor Congressional members, can consistently and reliably identify what our defense programs cost, will cost in the future, or even what they really cost in the past.

Unreliable financial management information makes it impossible to link the consequences of past decisions to the defense budget now before Congress. It is not possible to measure whether or not the activities of the Defense Department are meeting the military requirements set for it.

Congress sees the result of this mismanagement in the dozens of Government Accountability Office reports and Department of Defense Inspector General reports that come out each year. In fact, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has designated the Department of Defense as “high risk” for fraud and said that DOD cannot ensure basic accountability of taxpayer funds every year since 1995.

But who really suffers when the Department of Defense can’t get its financial systems to work?

The troops defending our nation suffer.

A recent article by Reuters detailed the pay problems that plague our troops at every level of the military. DOD’s antiquated IT systems, still running on 1960s technology, overly-complex processes and poor customer service, combine to create a bureaucratic minefield that service members and DOD civilians must navigate to resolve these issues. Resolution of pay issues, if at all successful, often takes weeks or months, even years, and understandably, takes our troops’ focus away from the mission.

We owe it to the men and women serving in the military, who are so selflessly and bravely defending our nation, to pay them what they are owed, to stop putting this undue burden on them and their families, and to have a responsive resolution process in place so that pay discrepancies can be resolved in a timely manner.

A retired Army soldier testified at a joint hearing last year how “pay problems are considered a normal part of Army life” and described how he had problems with pay throughout his entire 28 years of service to our nation, including filling out tax paperwork in a warzone because of the Army’s financial systems. A witness from the Government Accountability Office testified at the same hearing that the Army can’t reliably provide documentation on the accuracy of its payroll for soldiers because its legacy financial IT systems are so dated and its processes so inefficient, and stated that these issues are not unique to the Army and occur in the Navy and Air Force as well.

The American taxpayers suffer.

We still have not solved the problem of the $500 hammer and toilet seat identified in the 1980s. Examples from recent Inspector General reports include:

- The Army paid $644 for a spur gear that cost Defense Logistics Agency $12.

- The Army paid over $1600 for a roller assembly that cost the Defense Logistics Agency $7.

- The Army spent hundreds of millions on spare parts that were sitting unused in the Department of Defense’s inventory\(^2\)--even more troubling, DOD regularly orders parts it knows it doesn’t need before the orders are placed, then just gives these items away for pennies on the dollar.

- The Department of Defense is spending billions trying to upgrade its legacy financial systems with new commercial systems. The very systems that are

---

\(^2\) DOD IG Report of Boeing Spare Parts Contracts (May 2011)
supposed to help with cost overruns are themselves suffering from cost overruns
to the tune of $8 billion and 12 years of schedule delays!³

This is not how American taxpayers expect DOD—or Congress—to manage their money.

**Our national security is at stake.**

In its 2012 report on Army payroll, GAO noted that troop pay discrepancies—both under- and over-payments—“may pose financial hardship for the soldiers and detract from their focus on mission.”⁴

Another symptom of the poor financial management at the Department of Defense is that as the defense budget has grown over time, our forces have shrunk. Former Secretary of Defense Robert Gates noted in a speech that current naval ships are three times as expensive as their equivalents during the 1980s and we have fewer of them.⁵

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) found that cost growth from 2001 to 2011 in major weapon systems amounted to over $300 billion. As the costs for weapon systems grow, DOD makes tradeoffs in its budget, such as buying fewer planes.

And during our current budget crunch, DOD has even cancelled vital readiness training, reducing flight time and even pre-deployment training for our troops deploying to Afghanistan.⁶

**Department of Defense Inspector General and GAO Findings**

In a depressing and little-noticed report, the Defense Department Inspector General reported the following in its “Summary of DOD Office of the Inspector General Audits of Financial Management:”⁷

The financial management systems DOD has put in place to control and monitor the money flow “prevent DOD from collecting and reporting financial information ... that is accurate, reliable, and timely.”

---


• DOD frequently enters “unsupported” amounts in its books and uses those imaginary figures to make the books balance. Inventory records are not reviewed and adjusted; unreliable and inaccurate data are used to report inventories, and purchases are made based on those distorted inventory reports.

• DOD managers do not know how much money is in their accounts at the Treasury, nor when they spend more than Congress appropriates to them. Nor does DOD “record, report, collect, and reconcile” funds received from other agencies or the public, and DOD tracks neither buyer nor seller amounts when conducting transactions with other agencies.

• “The cost and depreciation of the DOD general property, plant, and equipment are not reliably reported ….the value of DOD property and material in the possession of contractors is not reliably reported.”

• DOD does not know for sure who owes it money, nor how much.

Past efforts to try to get the Pentagon to do the right thing by passing laws with no teeth has not worked. The Pentagon ignores the laws and then suffers no consequences.

In fact, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has designated the Department of Defense as “high risk” for fraud and said that DOD cannot ensure basic accountability of taxpayer funds every year since 1995.

Our current Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel knows that this is a problem. In testimony to the Senate Armed Services Committee he said that the Pentagon needs, “auditable statements, both to improve the quality of our financial information and to reassure the public, and the Congress, that we are good stewards of public funds.”

Secretary Hagel agrees that the Pentagon must audit the Pentagon and says, “Our next goal is audit-ready budget statements by the end of 2014…I strongly support this initiative and will do everything I can to fulfill this commitment.”

We must hold DOD accountable for its duty to the taxpayers. We must hold DOD accountable for the deadlines it sets for itself.

Why? the reason is simple; the Pentagon doesn’t know how it spends its money. In a strict financial accountability sense, it doesn’t even know if the money is spent. This incomprehensible condition has been documented in hundreds of reports over three decades from both the Government Accountability Office (GAO) and the Department’s own Inspector General (DOD IG).

Which means that Congress doesn’t really know how DOD is spending its money.
Unlike every other major federal department, the Pentagon continues to fail at their requirement and responsibility to report to Congress and the American people that it can show where the hundreds of billions of dollars of taxpayer money goes.

DOD has never fully complied with several laws on financial management.

- This amendment finally provides an incentive in the law for the Pentagon for meeting its audit schedule and getting a clean audit opinion
  - The Pentagon will have increased authority to reprogram funds without congressional approval. (notification is still required)
  - The Pentagon will have some report requirements ended and will tell Congress which reports are obsolete now that it has to do with regard to financial management

- There are consequences for military services that fail to follow the law:
  - No new major weapon system can progress past research and development
  - Creation of a Chief Management Officer empowered to fix the Pentagon’s finances and IT problems
  - Defense Finance and Accounting Service is transferred to Treasury – who already processes payments for the rest of the federal government